UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

TNT Finical, Inc.,		
v. Shantae M. Jones,	Plaintiff,	Case No. 25-cv-12181
		Judith E. Levy United States District Judge
	Defendant.	Mag. Judge Elizabeth A. Stafford
		1
		ICE OF REMOVAL [1] AND DANT TO SHOW CAUSE
The Court has	reviewed the	notice of removal. (ECF No. 1.) The
Court strikes that do	ocument for the	e following reason(s):
☐ Missing staten	nent of concurr	rence or the statement of concurrence

does not comply with Local Rule 7.1(a). See E.D. Mich. LR 7.1(a).

□ Wrong font size or improper formatting (e.g., single-spaced,

☐ Brief missing required information (e.g., concise statement of

issues, controlling or most appropriate authority). See E.D. Mich.

5.1(a)(2)-(3).

LR 7.1(d)(2).

 \square Over-length. See E.D. Mich. LR 7.1(d)(3).

improper margins, no page numbers, etc.). See E.D. Mich. LR

	Exhibits do not comply with Rule 19(b) of the Electronic Filing
	Policies and Procedures (e.g., index, separate attachment for each
	exhibit). See E.D. Mich. LR 5.1(d)(1), 5.1.1(a).
	Contains information that must be redacted (e.g., social security number, taxpayer identification number, birth date, minor's name, financial account number). See Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2.
	Non-compliance with Local Rule 5.3 regarding filing an item under seal in a civil case. <i>See</i> E.D. Mich. LR 5.3.
П	Other:

The document (ECF No. 1) is STRICKEN and not part of the record. By **August 6, 2025**, Defendant Shantae M. Jones must file a redacted version of the removal notice in full compliance with the applicable rule(s).

Moreover, the docket sheet for the state-court case being removed (Case No. 2025-0000050484-AV) reflects that Defendant is the plaintiff in the state-court action. "Sections 1446(a) and 1455(a) of Title 28 authorize only the state-court defendants to remove cases to federal court." 14C Charles Alan Wright et al., Fed. Prac. & Proc. Juris. § 3730 (Rev. 4th ed. 2025). Nothing in the removal notice demonstrates that an exception applies that allows Defendant (the plaintiff in the state-court action) to remove the case to this Court. Accordingly, by August 6,

2025, Defendant must show cause in writing why the case should not be dismissed because the removal was improper.

Failure to comply with this order will result in sanctions, including the dismissal of the action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 23, 2025 Ann Arbor, Michigan s/Judith E. Levy JUDITH E. LEVY United States District Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was served upon counsel of record and any unrepresented parties via the Court's ECF System to their respective email or first-class U.S. mail addresses disclosed on the Notice of Electronic Filing on July 23, 2025.

s/William Barkholz WILLIAM BARKHOLZ Case Manager